THE SPATIAL STRATEGY UNSOUND FINAL amendments - Conservative Group

COUNCIL January 31st 2013

23

Group referen	ce: Conse	rvative Gro	oup	Agenda Item: 80			
Amendment	Policy	Page	Paragraph	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor	Councillor
No.1	,	5	01	Amenament proposed (revised text)		proposing	seconding
Reference							

SO21	p. 23	Provide additional primary and secondary	Unsound	Cllr Wealls	Cllr Simson
	p0	school places in response to growing	Reason: The final		
		demand and future increases in population	sentence of the		
		through proactively facilitating the	amendment - 'In		
		development of new schools and	such areas, any		
		expanding successful schools. Following	development		
		consultation with school governors, staff	should only be		
		and local families it may be the case that	considered where a		
		successful schools can not be expanded in	new school can be		
		areas where the supply of school places is	provided' - is		
		under particular pressure. In such areas,	unsound as it is		
		any development should only be	contrary to national		
		considered where a new school can be	planning policy.		
		provided. Assist in the long-term planning	The availability of		
		of higher and further education	school places in an		
		establishments, and ensure that they play a	area cannot decide		
		full part in the city's economic, social and	whether new		

en	nvironmental development.	development is acceptable.		
----	---------------------------	----------------------------	--	--

_	Amendment	Policy	Page	Paragraph	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor	Councillor
N	No.2	Number	Number	number			proposing	seconding
F	Reference							

	DA7 Toad's Hole Valley	p	p. 79		Delete all text in proposed policy and replace with: In order to protect the urban fringe, no development on Toad's Hole Valley will be permitted before 2020. After 2020, development will be permitted during the plan period should monitoring indicate projected shortfalls against the strategic housing, business and education requirements but only after all available brownfield and windfall sites have been exhausted. Under such circumstances, a detailed Supplementary Planning Document will be produced in consultation with local residents and other stakeholders, to enable a viable, sustainable and attractive development to be brought forward.	Unsound Reason: The amendment is contrary to national policy (NPPF). A contingency cannot be justified where the full housing requirement (15,800) is not met. It is also contrary to the plan being positively prepared and the NPPF requirement for a 10 year housing land supply to be identified.	Cllr. Brown	Cllr. Bennett
--	---------------------------	---	-------	--	--	--	-------------	---------------

HEALTHY AND BALANCED COMMUNITIES

UNSOUND FINAL amendments - Conservative Group

COUNCIL January 31st 2013

Group reference: Conservative Group				Agenda Item: 80			
Amendment No.2 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding

Healthy and Balanced Communities – healthy city (CP18), housing mix (CP19), affordable housing (CP20), student housing and HMOs (CP21) and traveller accommodation (CP22).

CP22 Traveller Accommod ation	222	First para	 Provision will be made to meet the city's needs for permanent and transit traveller accommodation. a) The council will seek to deliver 18 permanent pitches to meet assessed requirements to 2019. a) Traveller accommodation needs will be reviewed to cover the remaining plan period to 2030; b) In order to foster and strengthen community cohesion, the Council will offer advice to any traveller wishing to develop their own individual plot of land. 	Unsound Reason: Contrary to national policy which requires pitch targets to be set in local plans. It is also considered contrary to the other three tests of soundness (justified, effective, and positively prepared).	Cllr. Geoffrey Theobald	Cllr. Ken Norman

	 c) Additional or outstanding pitch requirements will be facilitated through site allocations in Part 2 of the City Plan or through joint Development Plan working with adjacent local planning authorities. e) An early review of this policy may be required to incorporate pitch requirements over the full plan period. 		